INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KANPUR STUDENT EVALUATION OF COURSE AND INSTRUCTION FOR ALL COURSES

N	ot Applicable	Not at All		= Average	>	Average V	ery much so	
3.00	NA 0		1	1 2		3	4	
SON	ME OF THE CO	OMMENTS ARI	E NOT APPLICA	ABLE TO THE	COUR	SE, i.e. COMM	MENTS ON LAB	
							E LEFT BLANK.	
	umber of Classes umber of Tutorial		Nil 0 - 5 6 - 10 Nil 0 - 5 6 - 10			11 - 15 11 - 15	> 15 > 15	
	nic Year : 20 _	No. of the last of		: 1 / 2 or Sun	100 500	11-15	715	
	Title :			Course Number				
	ggestion regarding	course contents		ourse Number				
	ABCOC	E F G H M M		RSTUVW	(X)(Y)(2)	Instru	Carlo Maria	
-		Coc	de					
	ABCOEFGHUJKUWNOPORSTUVWXYZ ABCOEFGHUJKUWNOPORSTUVWXYZ						-	
		456789	500	① ② ③ ④ ⑤ ⑥ ⑦ ⑧				
		456789		— (4)				
	0123456789					<u> </u>		
			0LMN0P0	RSTUVW	(X) (Y) (Z)	8		
ame o	of the Instructor							
		nd weakness of the	Instructor ?				180	
	- 3		v					
		se Number				Tutor	Lab. Inst.	
	of the Tutor :	tions for improvin	g the tutorials ?			Code	Code	
ease d	lo not comment i	f a course has only	discussion hour.		٦			
						0-0000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
	of the Laborator		p page at			000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0	
ny cor	nstructive suggest	tions for improvin	g the tutorials / exp	eriments?	7	6 6	6 6	
					4	7 7	0 0	
9.								

EVALUATION SHEET Don't Use Red / Green Pen **Bubbles** are Not Applicable Not at All < Average = Average > Average Very much so NA PART - I COURSE NA S.No. Detail 1. Amount of material covered in this course was just right 2. Topics were covered in a logical sequence 3. Pace of instruction was even 4. Assignments helped better understand the course material 5. Text / reference material was easily available 6. Exams truly reflected the grasp of course material 7. Lectures were useful 8. Experiments were meaningful 9. Equipments available in the laboratory were functioning PART - II INSTRUCTION Instructor 10. Course objectives were fulfilled 11. Fundamental principles were well emphasized 12. Board work was of good quality 13. The presentation of lecture material was well organized 14. The questions raised in the class were satisfactorily answered 15. The grading was consistent 16. All the allotted lectures were held 17. Visual aids were useful 18. Computer assisted visual aids were useful 19. Overall the instruction was effective 20. The Instructor taught well TUTORIAL (Skip this Section, if the course did not have Tutorials. Discussion hour is not tutorial.) 21. Questions were encouraged 22. Doubts were clarified effectively 23. All allocated tutorials were conducted 24. The tutor was consistent in grading quizzes 25. Over all the tutor was effective LABORATORY INSTRUCTOR (Skip this Section, if the course did not have Laboratories) 26. Laboratory reports were corrected in time

27.

Laboratory instructor ensured the smooth conduct of experiments

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KANPUR

OFFICE OF THE DEAN OF ACADEMIC AFFAIRS

.....

COURSE EVALUATION REPORT

ACAD-YEAR : 2023-24 SEMESTER : 2

COURSE TITLE: BAYESIAN ECONOMETRICS

INSTRUCTOR : MOHAMMAD ARSHAD RAHMAN COURSE LOAD : 3-0-0-0 (L-T-P-D)

TOTAL STUDENTS : 27 RESPONSES : 13

QNO	PER-0	PER-1	PER-2	PER-3	PER-4	COURSE AVG.	INSTITUTE AVG.
Q1	0	0	8	8	85	3.77	3.31
Q2	0	0	8	23	69	3.62	3.36
Q3	0	0	15	0	85	3.69	3.18
Q4	0	0	8	0	92	3.85	3.20
Q5	0	0	0	15	85	3.85	3.25
Q6	0	0	8	15	77	3.69	3.13
Q7	0	0	15	15	69	3.54	3.19
Q8	10	0	0	20	70	3.40	3.23
Q9	11	0	0	11	78	3.44	3.31

ABBREVIATIONS:

QNO: Question No. PER-0: Percentage of 0's PER-1: Percentage of 1's

PER-2: Percentage of 2's PER-3: Percentage of 3's PER-4: Percentage of 4's

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KANPUR

OFFICE OF THE DEAN OF ACADEMIC AFFAIRS

COURSE EVALUATION REPORT FOR INSTRUCTORS

ACAD-YEAR : 2023-24 SEMESTER : 2

COURSE TITLE: BAYESIAN ECONOMETRICS

INSTRUCTOR : MOHAMMAD ARSHAD RAHMAN COURSE LOAD : 3-0-0-0 (L-T-P-D)

TOTAL STUDENTS : 27 RESPONSES : 13

QNO	PER-0	PER-1	PER-2	PER-3	PER-4	INSTRUCTOR AVG.	INSTITUTE AVG.
Q10	0	0	8	15	77	3.69	3.32
Q11	0	0	8	8	85	3.77	3.32
Q12	0	0	0	9	91	3.91	3.25
Q13	0	0	0	15	85	3.85	3.29
Q14	0	0	0	23	77	3.77	3.34
Q15	0	0	8	8	85	3.77	3.18
Q16	0	0	0	8	92	3.92	3.53
Q17	0	0	9	9	82	3.73	3.31
Q18	0	0	9	9	82	3.73	3.29
Q19	0	0	8	8	85	3.77	3.23
Q20	0	0	8	8	85	3.77	3.27

ABBREVIATIONS:

QNO: Question No. PER-0: Percentage of 0's PER-1: Percentage of 1's PER-2: Percentage of 2's PER-3: Percentage of 3's PER-4: Percentage of 4's

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KANPUR

OFFICE OF THE DEAN OF ACADEMIC AFFAIRS

COMMENTS REPORT FOR INSTRUCTORS

COURSE TITLE: BAYESIAN ECONOMETRICS

INSTRUCTOR : marshad COURSE LOAD : 3-0-0-0 (L-T-P-D)

Section Comment

Course Course helped me to learn new things. I am thankful to professor.

Instructor Best professor for this course.

Instructor Prof Arshad has an in depth grasp of Bayesian Econometrics. He is also a very good teacher and makes sure that

the students who are willing to grasp concepts, actually learn a thing or two from his course.

Instructor Best Processor for this course....He have taught us very well